

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,409	07/03/2003	David J. Good	3023.PKG	4461
7590 10/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Cynthia L. Foulke			SCHATZ, CHRISTOPHER	
NATIONAL ST	'ARCH AND CHEMIC	AL COMPANY		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 Finderne Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			1733	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

΄Λ	1	h			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/613,409	GOOD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. 114 110 DATE 444	Christopher T. Schatz	1733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	ly 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e 	lection requirement				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	noonon roquironnoni.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		_			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	, , , , ,				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the correction of the cor	= ' '	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	?d. ·			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,409

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, 12, 13, drawn to a hot melt adhesive, classified in class 525, various subclasses.
 - II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of sealing and/or forming, classified in class 493, subclass 51.
 - III. Claim 14, drawn to a process of bonding two substrates, classified in class 156, subclass 60.
 - IV. Claims 15 and 21, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, various subclasses.
 - V. Claim 16-20, drawn to a method of manufacturing an adhesive, classified in class523 various subclass .

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as applying the hot melt adhesive to a and substrate and bonding said substrate to a second substrate.

Art Unit: 1733

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a method of forming and/or sealing.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and apparatus for applying the product. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed can be used with another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be used in another and materially different apparatus. In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used with another and materially different product such as an adhesive with a different bonded heat stress value than that of the adhesive in claim 1.

Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as heating the adhesive components at a temperature above 250 °F.

Inventions II and III are related as independent inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. Invention II is directed to a method of sealing and/or forming, where as Invention III is directed toward a process of bonding two substrates. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

Art Unit: 1733

status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their separate classifications above, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as applying an adhesive to a substrate.

Inventions V and II are related as process of making and process of using. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process of making as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product from the product used or (2) that the product used in the process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. In the instant case the process of making as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product from the product used. Applicant is referred to the paragraph that discusses Invention I and Invention V above, where examiner demonstrates that case the process of making as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product from the product used.

Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as

Art Unit: 1733

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a method of forming and/or sealing.

Inventions V and III are related as process of making and process of using. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process of making as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product from the product used or (2) that the product used in the process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. In the instant case the process of making as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product from the product used. Applicant is referred to the paragraph that discusses Invention I and Invention V above, where examiner demonstrates that case the process of making as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product from the product used.

Inventions V and IV are related as process of making and apparatus for using the product made. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) that the process can be used to make another and materially different product than that used in the apparatus or (2) that the apparatus as claimed can be used with another and materially different product from that made. Applicant is referred to the paragraph discussing Inventions I and IV above, where examiner demonstrates that the apparatus as claimed can be used with another and materially different product from that made.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,409

Art Unit: 1733

Page 6

A telephone call was made to Cynthia Folke on August 23, 2005 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher T. Schatz whose telephone number is 571-272-

1456. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-7:30, Monday -Thursday, 10:00-6:30

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CTS

TOM DUNN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700